

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/679,816	10/05/2000	Takashi Sakakura	2565-0210P	8792		
7:	590 05/27/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER			
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, HUY D		
P. O. Box 747			·-			
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2681	14		
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apr	lication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			679,816			A CLUI			
			miner		Art Unit				
	,				2681				
Th	e MAILING DATE of this commu		D Nguyen on the cover	sheet with the c		dress			
	Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>12 April 20</u>	<u>004</u> .						
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-fina	al.					
3)☐ Sind	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
clos	ed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex pai</i>	rte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition o	of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 2,3,6 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 8-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Application F	Papers								
10)∏ The App	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are icant may not request that any objected accement drawing sheet(s) including	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawi	ng(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)		documents hav documents hav of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	re been rece re been rece ocuments ha T Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application ive been receiver (a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D 3) Information	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of S)/Mail Date		5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Invention I:

Claims 1-11.

drawn to a non-elected invention.

Invention II: Claims 12-16.

between the wireless terminal and the destination wireless terminal.

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling direct communication

3. During a telephone conversation with attorney Clint Gerdine (Registration No. 41,035) on 05/21/2004 a provisional election was made to prosecute the invention I, claims 1-11. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2681

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 and recites the limitation "the destination wireless terminal" in lines 9, 10, and 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 and recites the limitation "the wireless terminal" in lines 10 and 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingdon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,003).

Regarding claims 1, 4-5, 8, Kingdon et al. teaches a wireless terminal communication method in a network, the network comprising, a plurality of wireless terminals (col. 3, lines 59-60), and a terminal location database (e.g., HLR 265 – col. 5, line 52; Fig. 2) for controlling the position information of the wireless terminals, the wireless terminal communication method comprises the steps of: inquiring to the terminal location database by a wireless terminal (e.g.,

Art Unit: 2681

agency 280, taxi, police) for a position information of a destination mobile wireless terminal (e.g., MS 200) of the wireless terminal (col. 6, lines 30-37); and communicating with the destination wireless terminal to share data (e.g., provide location service for MS-requested positioning – col. 5, line 66) between the wireless terminal and the destination wireless terminal when the wireless terminal has received the position information of the destination wireless terminal from the terminal location database. Even though Kingdon et al. does not clearly teach whether agency 280 is mobile or not, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the concept regardless the agency 280 is mobile or not to enhance system flexibility and capability.

Regarding claim 10, Kingdon et al. teaches the wireless terminal communication method as defined in claim 8, further comprising: notifying to an other terminal location database (e.g., VLR) who can respond to the inquiry after the terminal location database receives an inquiry regarding a relevant information from the wireless terminal; and wherein the wireless terminal makes an inquiry to the other terminal location database based on this notification of the position of the other terminal location database (col. 5, lines 48-58).

Regarding claim 11, Kingdon et al. teaches the wireless terminal communication method as defined in claim 1, wherein the terminal location database refers and replies to the inquiring step the position information of the wireless terminals controlled by the cellular phone network (col. 4, lines 55-56).

8. Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kingdon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,138,003) in view of O'Neill, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 6,069,588).

Regarding claim 9, Kingdon et al. teaches the claimed invention except for the ad hoc interface. O'Neill, Jr. teaches the interface for Ad Hoc (col. 6, lines 59-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the teaching of O'Neill, Jr. to the teaching of Kingdon et al. to enhance system capability by providing universal radio interface in the 2.45 GHz frequency band that enables communicating wirelessly via short-range network.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 2 has been rewritten in the independent form including all the limitations of the base claim. Therefore, claim 2 is now allowable with the same reason set forth in the previous office action (paper No. 7).

Claims 3, 6-7 have been allowed previously.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika A Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

m

PATENT EXAMINER